



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,564	10/27/2003	Gang Luo	11284 (NCR.0117US)	2425
26890 7590 07/10/2008 JAMES M. STOVER TERADATA CORPORATION 2835 MIAMI VILLAGE DRIVE MIAMISBURG, OH 45342				
EXAMINER				
STACE, BRENT S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2161				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/10/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCE

Ex parte GANG LUO, MICHAEL W. WATZKE, and CURT J. ELLMANN

Application 10/694,564
Date Mailed: July 9, 2008

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) on April 2, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

On July 24, 2007, an Examiner's Answer was mailed. In the Evidence

Relied Upon section, page 3, paragraph 8, the Examiner listed Gu (U.S. Patent No. 6,829,600), B. Walter “Nested Transactions With Multiple Commit Points: An Approach to the Structuring of Advanced Database Application” (Aug. 1984) p. 161-168 and MathLeague, “Introduction to Algebra” MathLeague (Mar. 2001) p.1-10. A review of the file reveals that reference to MathGoodies “Lesson on Order of Operations with Exponents” MathGoodies and Avadhanam (U.S. Patent No. 6,714,938) were applied to the statement of rejections in the Grounds of Rejection, paragraph (9) of the Examiner’s Answer. Before further review, the Examiner must submit a corrected Examiner’s Answer that will include in the Evidence Relied Upon section, the list of all of the references mentioned in the statement of rejections. See the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, (MPEP) § 1207.02. Appropriate correction is required.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) to vacate the Examiner’s Answer mailed July 24, 2007, and submit a revised Examiner’s Answer in accordance with the new rules effective September 13, 2004; and

Application 10/694,564

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

/Krista Zele/

Krista Zele
Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator
(571)272-9797

KZ/pgc

cc: JAMES M. STOVER
TERADATA CORPORATION
2835 MIAMI VILLAGE DRIVE
MIAMISBURG OH 45342